UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	S.D. C
EDWIN DELPHO,	JUDGE KARAS
Plaintiff, - against -	ECF CASE 5
SONOMA CALIFORNIA CAFE,	COMPLAINT <b>07 CIV. 8601</b>
Defendant.	PLAINTIFF DEMANDS A JURY TRIAL

Page 1 of 12

Plaintiff, EDWIN DELPHO, by his attorney, F.OBERT DAVID GOODSTEIN, ESQ., complaining of the Defendant, alleges as follows:

This is an action to remedy the failure of Defendant to properly pay Plaintiff overtime at the rate of time-and-a-half as required by the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended, 29 USC §201 et seq. Jurisdiction is conferred upon this Court by 29 USC §216 (b) of the Fair Labor Standards Act, by 28 USC §1331, as this action arises under the laws of the United States, and by 28 USC §1337, as this action arises under Acts of Congress regulating commerce. Additionally, under the principle of pendent jurisdiction, Plaintiff claims failure of Defendant to pay wages due and owing, pursuant to the New York State Labor Law §190 et seq., and §652(1); and for failure to pay Plaintiff overtime at the rate of time-and-a-half as required by 12 NYCRR §142-2.2. Further, this action for compensatory damages and punitive damages on behalf of plaintiff. This suit is authorized pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. §2000e et seq.), 42 U.S.C. §1981, and the New York Human Rights Law (N.Y.S. Executive Law §290 et seq.).

Jurisdiction of this Court is also invoked pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5, 28 U.S.C. §\$1331, 1343 and under the principle of pendent jurisdiction. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked

to secure the protection of, and redress deprivation of, rights secured by Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. §2000e et seq.), providing for equitable and legal relief against discrimination in employment, because of national origin; 42 U.S.C. §1981, prohibiting discrimination in the making of contracts; and New York State Executive Law §290 et seq. (Human Rights Law), prohibiting discrimination in employment, because of national origin.

#### **PARTIES**

- 2. Plaintiff, EDWIN DELPHO, (hereinafter "DELPHO") is a resident of the County of Dutchess, and the State of New York.
- 3. Defendant SONOMA CALIFORNIA CAFE' (hereinafter "SONOMA"), on information and belief is a New York employer with offices located c/o Mahmoud & Elshafey, 90 Independent Way, Brewster. New York 10509. Defendant is an employer engaged in interstate commerce, and subject to the Fair Labor Standards Act (29 USC §201 et seq.) and to the New York State Labor Law (Labor Law §§190 et seq.)(652(1)
- 4. Plaintiff, **EDWIN DELPHO**, is of "American" national origin, (non-Latino). Plaintiff is an individual and, therefore, a "person." within the meaning of 42 U.S.C.§2000e(a).
- 5. Defendant, **SONOMA**, is an "employer," as defined by 42 U.S.C.§2000e(b), since it is engaged in an industry affecting commerce, and since it had more than fifteen (15) employees for each working day in each of twenty (20) or more calender weeks in the current and/or proceeding calender year.
- 6. Defendant, **SONOMA**, is also an "employer" within the meaning of N.Y.S. Executive Law §292(5), since it had more than four (4) persons in its employ at all relevant times.
  - 7. Defendant, **SONOMA**, is a "person," pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1981.

#### **FACTS**

- 8. Plaintiff was hired by Defendant on or about October 17, 2006 at a salary of approximately fourty-three (\$43.000.00) Dollars per year as a Line Cook. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant through January 14, 2007.
  - 9. Plaintiff held an hourly paid non-exempt position.
- 10. Plaintiff was always required to work 8 hours per day, 6 days per week. Plaintiff actually worked 48 hours per week, plaintiff was not paid time and one half for the 8 hours overtime per week.
  - 11. Plaintiff is a male of American (non-Latino) national origin.
- 12. On January 14, 2007 plaintiff was terminated. He was told "Spanish guys work more hours and are cheaper." Plaintiff was replaced by a Latino.
- 13. Plaintiff, **EDWIN DELPHO**, filed a Charge of Discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (Exhibit 1), and has received a Notice of Right to Sue (Exhibit 2). This action is brought within ninety (90) days of the issuance of said Notice of Right to Sue.

#### AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 14. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each allegation contained in each preceding paragraph of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 15. Although Plaintiff worked overtime during the period October 17, 2006 through January 14, 2007 he did not receive overtime compensation for this period.
- 16. Plaintiff received no overtime compensation for the hours he worked over forty (40) per week.
  - 17. Plaintiff did not receive overtime payment at the rate of time-and-a-half, in violation

of the Fair Labor Standards Act, although he worked more than forty (40) hours per week.

18. Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff wages and overtime at the rate of time-and-a-half was willful.

## AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION PENDENT CAUSE OF ACTION-OVERTIME

- 19. From October 17, 2006 through January 17, 2007, Plaintiff was denied overtime at the rate of time-and-a-half in violation of 12 NYCRR §142-2.2;
- 20. From October 17, 2006 through January 17, 2007, Plaintiff was denied wage compensation for hours worked, in violation of Labor Law §190 et seq., and §652(1). This violation was willful.

#### **AS AND FOR PLAINTIFF'S THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

21. Defendant, **SONOMA**, engaged in a unlawful employment practice, by discriminating against plaintiff, **EDWIN DELPHO**, because of national origin, with the respect to the terms, conditions and/or privileges of her employment, when it was terminated. Plaintiff because of his non-Latino national origin, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. §2000e et seq.) and 42 U.S.C. §1981.

# AS AND FOR PLAINTIFF'S FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION AND THE SECOND PENDENT STATE CAUSE OF ACTION

- 22. Defendant, **SONOMA**, engaged in unlawful discriminatory practices by discriminating against plaintiff, **EDWIN DELPHO**, with respect to terminating him because of his non-Latino national origin, in violation of N.Y.S. Executive Law §290 et seq.
  - 23. Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all claims.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests this Court enter an Order in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant:

### On The Fair Labor Standards Act Causes of Action

- (a) Declaring Defendant violated the Fair Labor Standards Act (29 Code USC §201 et seq.) for the period between October 17, 2006 through and including January 17, 2007;
  - (b) Declaring Defendant's violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act was willful;
- (c) Granting Plaintiff payment of his hourly wage for each and every hour worked during this periods;
- (d) Granting Plaintiff time-and-a-half for each and every hour worked overtime during these periods;
- (e) Granting Plaintiff an equal amount in liquidated damages for the period dating three
  (3) years before the filing of this Complaint; and
- (f) Awarding Plaintiff his costs and reasonable attorneys' fees, together with such other and further relief as to the Court seems just and proper.

#### On the New York State Labor Law Cause of Action

- (g) Declaring Defendant violated the New York State Labor Law and 12 NYCRR §142-2.2;
- (h) Declaring that Defendant's violation of the New York State Labor Law and 12 NYCRR §142-2.2 was willful;
- (i) Granting Plaintiff payment of his hourly wage for each and every hour worked during this periods;
- (j) Awarding Plaintiff for the period October 17, 2006 through January 17, 2007 a payment for overtime at the rate of time and-a-half for working over forty (40) hours per week during the period;

- (k) Finding that Defendant's refusal to pay Plaintiff the required correct wages was willful, and awarding Plaintiff an additional amount as liquidated damages equal to twenty five (25%) percent of the total amount of wages found to be due; and
  - (l) Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees.

#### On Plaintiff's Title VII and 42 U.S.C. §1981 Causes of Action:

- i. An award of compensatory and/or punitive damages, pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. §2000e et seq.) and 42 U.S.C. §1981, in the amount of One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000.00).
- ii. An award of back pay, including pension benefits, raises, promotions, bonuses and all other benefits to which plaintiff would have been entitled.
- iii. An award of reasonable attorney's fees, together with costs and disbursements of this action, pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. §2000e et seq.) and/or 42 U.S.C. §1988.
  - iv. Reinstating plaintiff to his position as Line Cook.

#### On Plaintiff's Pendent State Cause of Action

- i. An award of compensatory damages, in the amount of Two Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$200,000.00).
  - ii. Together with such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

#### JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues properly before this Court and all questions of fact raised by this Complaint.

Dated: New Rochelle, New York October 1, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Robert Dayki Go

By: /S/ / MY / NORTH CONTROL (RDG 5443)

Attorneys for Plaintiff
56 Harrison Street, Suite 401
New Rochelle, New York 10801
(914) 632-8382

-7-

Page 8 of 12

# Plaintiff's Documents Exhibit #1

Case 7:07-cv-08601-KMK Document 1		Filed 10/04/	2007 Page S	GE NUMBER
CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION		FEPA		
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974; See Privacy Act Statement before completing this form.		X EEOC	520_20	07-01874
N	ew York State Division of	Human Rights		and EEOC
	State or local Agency, if an	<del></del>		
NAME(Indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.) Mr. Edwin Delpho		HOME TELEPHONE (914) 906-72		
STREET ADDRESS 123 Maple Avenue	CITY, STATE AND ZIP CODE Patterson, New York			DATE OF BIRTH
NAMED IS THE EMPLOYER, LABOR ORGA AGENCY WHO DISCRIMINATED AGAINST	ANIZATION, EMPLOYMENT AGENCY, AP TME (If more than one list below.)	PRENTICESHIP COMM	NITTEE, STATE OR LO	OCAL GOVERNMENT
NAME Southeast Operating Group Inc.	NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES, MEMBERS Approximately 50		TELEPHONE (Inc	lude Area Code)
STREET ADDRESS c/o Mahmoud & Elshafey	CITY, STATE AND ZIP CODE 15 Butternut Lane, Hope	ewell Junction	, N.Y. ]2533	COUNTY Dutchess
NAME		TELEFHONE.NUMBE	R (Include Area Code	•)
STREET ADDRESS	CITY, STATE AND ZIP CODE			COUNTY
CAUSE OF DISCRIMINATION BASED ON A  RACE COLOR  RETALIATION X NAT	SEX RELIGION TIONAL DISABILITY		ATE DISCRIMINATIO ARLIEST (ADEA/EPA)  CONTINUI	
THE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional paper)	er is needed, attach extra sheet(s)):			
Please see attached.			•	
Tlease see attached.				
		: 1		
			Notary Public No. 01	RINE PURDY  Compared to the state of New York  PU6082465  Dutchess County
I want this charge filed with both the EEO if any. I will advise the agencies if I chang number and I will cooperate fully with their charge in accordance with their proceedure.	C and the State or local Agency e my address or telephone m in the processing of my	MARY - When necessary	ve read the (bov) cha	Pres (Action 15) 28, 2010
I declare under penalty of perjury that the		NATURE OF COMPLA		
Date 2/7/07 Cultiple Pa	SUB (Da arty (Signature)	SERIBED AND SWOF , month, and year	FEB 2 6 2007	AS CATE
EEOC FORM 5 (Test 10/94)			C.NYDO-ENFORCE	MENT

### EDWIN DELPHO EEOC CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION - PARTICULARS

I was terminated from my job in a restaurant in Brewster, New York by the General Manager of Respondent, Steve Martell. I was told that he had to cut payroll; he told me that Spanish guys work more hours and are cheaper. I was the only non-Latino employee in the kitchen.



# Plaintiff's Documents Exhibit #2

### U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE (ISSUED ON REQUEST)							
123 I	in Delpho Maple Avenue erson, NY 12563		From:	New York District Office 33 Whitehall Street 5th Floor New York, NY 10004			
	On behalf of person(s) aggriev CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §16						
EEOC Char	ge No.	EEOC Representative		Telephone No.			
		Katherine Greenfield,					
520-2007	<u>'-01874</u>	Investigator		(212) 336-3762			
Title VII of under Title the ADA m	VII and/or the ADA based on ust be filed in a federal or s	and/or the Americans with Disabil the above-numbered charge. It has l	ities Act been issi ir receip	the additional information enclosed with this form.)  It (ADA): This is your Notice of Right to Sue, issued at your request. Your lawsuit under Title VII on the of this notice; or your right to sue based on this t.)			
X	·	passed since the filing of this charge.					
		passed since the filing of this charge, ninistrative processing within 180 days		eve determined that it is unlikely that the EEOC will be filing of this charge.			
X	The EEOC is terminating it	rminating its processing of this charge.					
	The EEOC will continue to	process this charge.					
Age Discri 90 days aft your case:	er you receive notice that we	t (ADEA): You may sue under the AD have completed action on the charge	DEA at ar e. In this	ny time from 60 days after the charge was filed unti s regard, <b>the paragraph marked below applies to</b>			
				A must be filed in federal or state court <u>WITHIN</u> ased on the above-numbered charge will be lost.			
		s handling of your ADEA case. Howe or state court under the ADEA at this		60 days have passed since the filing of the charge,			
in federal o	r state court within 2 years (3		ed EPA	C charge is not required.) EPA suits must be brough underpayment. This means that backpay due fo not be collectible.			
If you file su	uit, based on this charge, please	e send a copy of your court complaint t	to this off	fice.			
		On behalf of	f the Con	nmission 9/11/07			
Enclosures	s(s)	Spencer H. Le	-	(Date Mailed)			

cc:

**SONOMA CALIFORNIA CAFE** C/O Mahmoud & Elshafey 90 Independent Way Brewster, NY 10509

**Flobert David Goodstein** Goodstein & West 56 Harrison Street Suite 401 New Rochelle, NY 10801